

# **Halifax House Price Index Family 1983 (HHPI1983) *Benchmark Statement***

Feb 2023

# Table of Contents

General Disclosures	3
Market or Economic Reality of the Benchmark	4
<b>General description of the market or economic reality</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Geographical boundaries of the market or economic reality</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Other relevant information relating to the market or economic reality</b>	<b>4</b>
Potential Limitations of the Benchmark	5
<b>Circumstances in which we would lack sufficient input data to determine the benchmark according to the methodology</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Circumstances in which the degree of liquidity of the underlying market becomes insufficient to ensure the integrity and reliability of the benchmark determination according to the methodology</b>	<b>5</b>
Exercise of Expert Judgment and Discretion	6
<b>Position of each function or body that may exercise discretion in the calculation of a benchmark and governance thereof</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Ex-post evaluation process</b>	<b>6</b>
Methodology Changes and Benchmark Cessations	7
<b>The methodology</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Rationale for adopting the methodology</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Possible impact of changes to, or the cessation of the benchmarks upon the financial contracts, financial instruments that reference the benchmark or the measurement of the performance of investment funds</b>	<b>8</b>
Key Terms	10
Additional Information	11
Appendix I - Consideration of ESG Factors	12
Appendix II - Disclosure of the Alignment with the Objectives of the Paris Agreement	13
Disclaimer	14

# General Disclosures

<b>Benchmark family name</b>	Halifax House Price Indices 1983 ( <b>HHPI1983</b> )
<b>Benchmark administrator</b>	<b>IHS Markit Benchmark Administration Ltd. (IMBA UK)</b>
<b>Date of initial publication of this document</b>	9 July 2018
<b>Date of last update to this document</b>	1 September 2022
<b>ISIN (where available)</b>	There are presently no ISINs available or accessible for the benchmarks covered by this Benchmark Statement.
<b>Determination by contributions of input data</b>	<p>The administrator receives contributions of “input data” (as defined by the <b>BMR</b>) in relation to this family of benchmarks.</p> <p>The HHPI utilizes data relating to approved mortgage transactions (<b>Mortgage Offer Data</b>) entered into by Lloyds Banking Group (<b>LBG</b>). The Mortgage Offer Data is provided by LBG, the parent entity of Halifax.</p>
<b>Qualification of the benchmark family</b>	The HHPI1983 indices are administered as significant benchmarks.

# Market or Economic Reality of the Benchmark

## **General description of the market or economic reality**

The HHPI1983 is a barometer of UK house prices based on LBG Mortgage Offer Data and has been calculated since January 1983. The HHPI1983 Methodology has been unchanged since 1983 and, as a result, the model is reflective of the structure of the housing market in 1983 and not reflective of recent changes in the mix of UK housing stock. These changes – to both geographical and physical housing attributes – plus the reduced influence of certain property characteristics in price determination have led to increasing index volatility meaning there will be instances where the index is less representative of broader moves in UK house prices than the updated HHPI2019.

## **Geographical boundaries of the market or economic reality**

The HHPI measures house prices across the UK. A headline, UK aggregate HHPI1983 measure is produced each month, while HHPI1983 measures are produced on a quarterly basis for: Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland, as well nine English Regions.

## **Other relevant information relating to the market or economic reality**

The HHPI1983 is derived from mortgage transaction data from a single provider (LBG) that is one of the UK's largest mortgage lenders, with mortgage offers comprising around 15%–20% of the total UK mortgage market.

# Potential Limitations of the Benchmark

## **Circumstances in which we would lack sufficient input data to determine the benchmark according to the methodology**

The statistical reliability of the HHPI1983 would be compromised if an extreme event caused an unexpectedly sharp decline in the volume of Mortgage Offer Data in any given month and in turn the volume of mortgage transactions that were available for input into the HHPI1983 model.

During the 35+years in which the HHPI1983 has been produced, volumes of Mortgage Offer Data have only once (during UK government-imposed lockdowns in response to the Covid-19 global pandemic) been insufficient to produce all HHPI1983 benchmarks. In a scenario where the number of mortgage transactions received from LBG fell below general statistical standard guidelines and to the extent that this might have a material impact on the statistical integrity of the HHPI1983, the **Index Administration Committee (IAC)** would make a decision as to whether the family of benchmarks should be published. For a publication in this scenario the Administrator would make HHPI1983 users aware that the family of benchmarks (or individual benchmarks that are part of the family) has been based on an unusually low volume of transactions and the factors that had driven this. The IAC may also consider consulting with users around temporary changes to the methodology to address data sufficiency, e.g. inclusion of mortgage transaction data from earlier periods in the calculation of the model. The accuracy of the data inputs would not be affected by any extreme event and quality checks are in place to verify data quality.

## **Circumstances in which the degree of liquidity of the underlying market becomes insufficient to ensure the integrity and reliability of the benchmark determination according to the methodology**

See above.

# Exercise of Expert Judgment and Discretion

## Position of each function or body that may exercise discretion in the calculation of a benchmark and governance thereof

The administrator's indices are rules based and do not typically permit the use of discretion unless there are exceptional circumstances that are not addressed by either the index rules or an administrator policy. Such scenarios may include (but are not limited to):

- Failure of data providers;
- Significant changes to the underlying market;
- Complex corporate events;
- Action by governmental or regulatory bodies that causes market disruption;
- Events beyond human control.

In the event that the administrator needs to take action or make a decision that has not been foreseen by the methodology or associated policy, senior members of the administration team will consult internally with the applicable IAC; this is to arrive at a decision that is consistent with the objective of the index in question and that causes minimal disruption to index stakeholders.

Any changes to the index methodology or cessations will be decided upon as per IMBA's Benchmark Methodology Changes and Cessation policy (available [here](#)). Where a proposed methodology change is material IMBA will launch a wider consultation which is addressed to all relevant stakeholders. It will also notify the **Board** and the **Benchmark Oversight Committee** who will oversee the consultation process and can challenge the suggested approach. A methodology change is likely to be material if it has a substantial impact on the index calculation process or formula, the quality of the input data, the index selection rules, the underlying market or reality measured, the panel of contributors to the benchmark, or the benchmark level.

## Ex-post evaluation process

As above, the exercise of judgment or discretion in the calculation of the HHPI1983 would need to be approved by the IAC prior to the publication of the HHPI1983 to ensure that no conflict of interest arises and that the HHPI1983 continues to reflect the underlying economic reality. The decision of the IAC would be recorded and notes on the nature of the judgment or discretion that have been exercised would be published on the public release documents relating to the family of benchmarks. To the extent there is feedback or comment on the use of expert judgment or discretion, the IAC will review those comments at the earliest opportunity.

# Methodology Changes and Benchmark Cessations

## The methodology

1. The HHPI1983 methodology is applied to produce a number of standardised indices covering different categories of houses (all and existing) and of buyers (first-time buyers and former owner-occupiers). Index levels are provided to registered users on a monthly basis for the UK as a whole and on a quarterly basis for UK regions. Licensed users receive the HHPI1983 data updates through a Secure File Transfer Protocol site. The need for "standardisation" arises out of the fact that no two houses are alike: they may differ according to a variety of quantitative and qualitative **Property Characteristics** relating to the physical attributes of the houses themselves or to their locations. Thus, analyses of average house price differences between one region and another, or of changes in average prices over time, are not based on the comparison of like with like if the "characteristics-mix" of houses traded is not standardised. The problem of comparability cannot be tackled without information about the Property Characteristics, as well as the price, of each house included in the Mortgage Offer Data. Given the great variety of combinations of Property Characteristics possessed by houses and given also a desire to measure their influence at regional, as well as at national levels, it was necessary to establish a data-capturing system large enough to provide representative coverage of all house transactions in each region of the UK. As a leading mortgage lender in the UK, Lloyds Banking Group has been in an ideal position for well over three decades to obtain large-scale representative data which are used in the HHPI1983 model. The HHPI Methodology has been unchanged since 1983. As a result the model is reflective of the structure of the housing market in 1983 and not reflective of recent changes in the mix of UK housing stock – both geographical and physical housing attributes – which have reduced the influence of certain property characteristics that constitute HHPI1983. The methodology is subject to at least annual review by the administrator. Such review is carried out by the Board of the administrator and the Benchmark Oversight Committee.
2. All underlying Mortgage Offer Data comes from a single source – LBG. The data comprise approved mortgage transaction data for mortgages granted by LBG in the latest month. The Mortgage Offer Data not only records the price of each property, but also defined Property Characteristics such as location, age of property and the number of habitable rooms. The HHPI1983 is calculated using what is known as a **Hedonic Price Regression**. In this hedonic approach to price measurement, goods are valued not for themselves as such but for the set of attributes which they possess. In the case of housing, prices will reflect the valuation placed by purchasers on the particular set of locational and physical attributes (or characteristics) possessed by each house. Pre-defined exclusion criteria determine which mortgage transactions are included in the model and which are excluded. Once included, there is no prioritization of the mortgage transaction data. The volumes of mortgage transactional data used in the model for the HHPI1983 is closely monitored on a monthly basis. The model does not use any extrapolation for missing data.
3. The exercise of judgment or discretion is very rarely used in the calculation of the HHPI1983. When applied, the exercise of judgment or discretion in the calculation of the HHPI1983 is determined by diagnostics derived from a monthly monitoring pack that are used to review the performance of the HHPI1983 model and the coefficients of each of the Property Characteristics that are used in the hedonic regression model that calculates the family of benchmarks. This analysis is then used to determine any exercise of judgment or discretion in the calculation of the family of benchmarks. The administrator of the indices would advise on the use of such discretion and any proposal around the exercise of judgment or discretion would need to be approved by the IAC prior to the publication of the HHPI1983 family of benchmarks.
4. During the 35+ years in which the HHPI1983 has been produced, volumes of Mortgage Offer Data have only once (during UK government-imposed lockdowns in response to the Covid-19 global pandemic) been insufficient to produce all HHPI1983 benchmarks. In a scenario where the number of mortgage transactions received from LBG fell below general statistical standard guidelines and to

the extent that this might have a material impact on the statistical integrity of the HHPI1983, the IAC would make a decision as to whether the family of benchmarks should be published. For a publication in this scenario the Administrator would make HHPI1983 users aware that the family of benchmarks (or individual benchmarks that are part of the family) has been based on an unusually low volume of transactions and the factors that had driven this. The IAC may also consider consulting with users around temporary changes to the methodology to address data sufficiency, e.g. inclusion of mortgage transaction data from earlier periods in the calculation of the model. The accuracy of the data inputs would not be affected by any extreme event and quality checks are in place to verify data quality.

5. Any errors detected in the data would be raised with LBG – the sole provider of data – and resolved as quickly as possible. If necessary, publication of the family of benchmarks would be delayed while the errors were resolved. If a re-determination of any benchmark was required, this would be republished alongside a clear explanation to all users of the reason for the re-determination and the difference between the initially published and re-determined data. Further details of the HHPI1983 Restatement Policy are available on the administrator’s website [here](#).
6. The identification of potential limitations of the benchmark, including its operation in illiquid or fragmented markets and the possible concentration of inputs is covered above.

The HHPI1983 methodology, as described in the index manual (as amended, replaced or substituted from time to time) is available [here](#).

### **Rationale for adopting the methodology**

When first introduced in 1984, the Halifax House Price Index (HHPI) family represented a major advance in the measurement of house price changes in the United Kingdom by employing standardised house price techniques rather than those based on simple price averages. Whilst the methodology of HHPI1983 has been improved and refined with the introduction of HHPI2019, the HHPI1983 indices are rooted in hedonic regression techniques that remain the basis for house price indices around the world to this day. At present, HHPI1983 indices are provided to clients principally for business continuity purposes. The key elements of the methodology of the HHPI1983 benchmarks are the sources of input data and the rules for inclusion / exclusions.

Sources of input data - The sole source of data for HHPI1983 remains Lloyds Banking Group (LBG). As a leading mortgage lender in the UK, LBG are in an ideal position to provide not only price information related to property transactions but also several attributes related to the type (detached, semi-detached, terraced etc.), size (e.g. number of bedrooms, bathrooms), age, and location. These attributes are key to conducting the hedonic regression technique used to create a “standardised” UK property and subsequently generate HHPI1983 house price indices.

Rules for inclusion / exclusions of input data - Several types of mortgage approval transactions are excluded from index generation. These are typically viewed as properties not subject to the typical survey process, agreed prices that do not represent “free” or “normal” market transactions, or those properties not used for residential purposes. Examples here would include re-mortgages, business use, capital raising and discounted mortgages relative to market value e.g. the “Right-to-Buy” scheme.

### **Possible impact of changes to, or the cessation of the benchmarks upon the financial contracts, financial instruments that reference the benchmark or the measurement of the performance of investment funds**

Generally, the administrator will publicly consult on any planned material change or the cessation of the HHPI1983 to allow stakeholders to indicate where changes to or the termination of the benchmark are expected to have adverse impacts. The administrator will make reasonable efforts to address stakeholder concerns expressed in response to such consultation and to allow for reasonable advance notice for stakeholders in order to unwind existing contracts or instruments or seek an appropriate substitute benchmark. However, there may be circumstances where external factors beyond the control of the administrator could lead to short-term changes to or the termination of the HHPI1983 without proper



consultation or adequate notice period, e.g. where a continuous disruption of the underlying market or an underlying data point requires a change to the methodology or impacts the viability of the benchmark. For more details please refer to the administrator's Change and Cessation Policy available on the administrator's website [here](#).

The HHPI1983 is used primarily for capital and impairment purposes, including for assessment of the quality of mortgages on a lenders' books and variances in Loan to Value (LTV) ratios resulting from changes in UK house prices. The HHPI1983 is used in a similar way (i.e. calculating LTV) in large lenders' Covered Bonds Programmes. The impact of a cessation of the HHPI1983 would be that users would need to look to other sources of UK house price data against which to assess their mortgage lending and may have a direct impact on investors with positions in financial contracts or financial instruments referencing the HHPI1983. We are aware of a small number of financial instruments which directly use the HHPI1983 as the determinant. Those product issuers would also need to consider alternative sources of UK house price data in order to settle those instruments.

# Key Terms

<b>Benchmark Oversight Committee</b>	means the independent function overseeing the IMBA UK Board with regard to the administration of the HHPI1983.	
<b>BMR</b>	means the Regulation (EU) 2016/1011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2016.	
<b>Board</b>	means the Board of Directors of IMBA UK.	
<b>ESG</b>	means Environmental, Social, Governance, recognised as the 3 central pillars measuring sustainability and societal impact of institutions, sovereigns, or other entities.	
<b>Hedonic Price Regression</b>	in this hedonic approach to price measurement, means that goods are valued not for themselves as such but for the set of attributes which they possess.	
<b>HHPI1983</b>	means Halifax House Price Index 1983 (HHPI).	
<b>Index Administration Committee (IAC)</b>	means the Index Advisory Committee is the committee with responsibility for overseeing day to day administration of the HHPI1983.	
<b>IHS Markit Benchmark Administration Ltd. (IMBA UK)</b>	means the administrator, which is the UK entity authorised by the FCA responsible for the daily provision of the HHPI1983.	
<b>LBG</b>	means Lloyds Banking Group.	
<b>Mortgage Offer Data</b>	means approved mortgage transactions entered into by LBG. This covers all data relating to the property on which a mortgage has been offered. This information will include the price of the property against which the mortgage is being offered as well as the Property Characteristics such as type (detached, semi-detached, terraced, flat, bungalow), size, age and location.	
<b>Property Characteristics</b>	mean the variety of characteristics used by the index to determine a standardised house in the UK which, when taken together, help to explain the majority of the variation in house prices.	

# Additional Information

## **Updates to this Benchmark Statement**

This Benchmark Statement will be updated whenever the information it provides is no longer correct or sufficiently precise and at least where:

(a) there is a change in the type of the benchmark;

(b) there is a material change in the methodology for determining the benchmark or, where the Benchmark Statement refers to a family of benchmarks, in the methodology for determining any benchmark within the family of benchmarks.

This Benchmark Statement will be updated at least every two years.

## **Contact us**

For more information, including the full methodology document visit <https://ihsmarkit.com/products/halifax-house-price-index.html> or contact us at [support@ihsmarkit.com](mailto:support@ihsmarkit.com).

# Appendix I - Consideration of ESG Factors

Type of benchmark family	Other benchmarks
<b>Name of the benchmarks</b>	HHPI1983 Indices
<b>Does the benchmark pursue ESG objectives?</b>	No
<b>Where the answer to the above question is negative, is any EU Climate Transition Benchmark or EU Paris-Aligned Benchmark available in the portfolio of IMBA UK or does IMBA UK have benchmarks that pursue ESG objectives or benchmarks that take into account ESG factors?</b>	Yes

## Appendix II - Disclosure of the Alignment with the Objectives of the Paris Agreement

<b>Does the benchmark align with the target of reducing carbon emissions or the attainment of the objectives of the Paris Agreement?</b>	No
<b>The temperature scenario, in accordance with international standards, used for the alignment with the target of reducing GHG emissions or attaining of the objectives of the Paris Agreement.</b>	Not applicable. The benchmarks do not pursue the objective of seeking to reduce carbon emissions or attaining the objectives of the Paris Agreement and therefore a temperature scenario is not relevant to the benchmarks.
<b>The name of the provider of the temperature scenario used for the alignment with the target of reducing GHG emissions or the attainment of the objectives of the Paris Agreement.</b>	Not applicable
<b>The methodology used for the measurement of the alignment with the temperature scenario.</b>	Not applicable
<b>The hyperlink to the website of the temperature scenario used.</b>	Not applicable
<b>Date on which information has last been updated and reason for the update.</b>	Not applicable

# Disclaimer

## Performance Disclosure/Back-Tested Data

Where applicable, S&P Dow Jones Indices and its index-related affiliates (“S&P DJI”) defines various dates to assist our clients in providing transparency. The First Value Date is the first day for which there is a calculated value (either live or back-tested) for a given index. The Base Date is the date at which the index is set to a fixed value for calculation purposes. The Launch Date designates the date when the values of an index are first considered live: index values provided for any date or time period prior to the index’s Launch Date are considered back-tested. S&P DJI defines the Launch Date as the date by which the values of an index are known to have been released to the public, for example via the company’s public website or its data feed to external parties. For Dow Jones-branded indices introduced prior to May 31, 2013, the Launch Date (which prior to May 31, 2013, was termed “Date of introduction”) is set at a date upon which no further changes were permitted to be made to the index methodology, but that may have been prior to the Index’s public release date.

Please refer to the methodology for the Index for more details about the index, including the manner in which it is rebalanced, the timing of such rebalancing, criteria for additions and deletions, as well as all index calculations.

Information presented prior to an index’s launch date is hypothetical back-tested performance, not actual performance, and is based on the index methodology in effect on the launch date. However, when creating back-tested history for periods of market anomalies or other periods that do not reflect the general current market environment, index methodology rules may be relaxed to capture a large enough universe of securities to simulate the target market the index is designed to measure or strategy the index is designed to capture. For example, market capitalization and liquidity thresholds may be reduced. In addition, forks have not been factored into the back-test data with respect to the S&P Cryptocurrency Indices. For the S&P Cryptocurrency Top 5 & 10 Equal Weight Indices, the custody element of the methodology was not considered; the back-test history is based on the index constituents that meet the custody element as of the Launch Date. Back-tested performance reflects application of an index methodology and selection of index constituents with the benefit of hindsight and knowledge of factors that may have positively affected its performance, cannot account for all financial risk that may affect results and may be considered to reflect survivor/look ahead bias. Actual returns may differ significantly from, and be lower than, back-tested returns. Past performance is not an indication or guarantee of future results.

Typically, when S&P DJI creates back-tested index data, S&P DJI uses actual historical constituent-level data (e.g., historical price, market capitalization, and corporate action data) in its calculations. As ESG investing is still in early stages of development, certain datapoints used to calculate certain ESG indices may not be available for the entire desired period of back-tested history. The same data availability issue could be true for other indices as well. In cases when actual data is not available for all relevant historical periods, S&P DJI may employ a process of using “Backward Data Assumption” (or pulling back) of ESG data for the calculation of back-tested historical performance. “Backward Data Assumption” is a process that applies the earliest actual live data point available for an index constituent company to all prior historical instances in the index performance. For example, Backward Data Assumption inherently assumes that companies currently not involved in a specific business activity (also known as “product involvement”) were never involved historically and similarly also assumes that companies currently involved in a specific business activity were involved historically too. The Backward Data Assumption allows the hypothetical back-test to be extended over more historical years than would be feasible using only actual data. For more information on “Backward Data Assumption” please refer to the FAQ. The methodology and factsheets of any index that employs backward assumption in the back-tested history will explicitly state so. The methodology will include an Appendix with a table setting forth the specific data points and relevant time period for which backward projected data was used. Index returns shown do not represent the results of actual trading of investable assets/securities. S&P DJI maintains the index and calculates the index levels and performance shown or discussed but does not manage any assets.

Index returns do not reflect payment of any sales charges or fees an investor may pay to purchase the securities underlying the Index or investment funds that are intended to track the performance of the Index. The imposition of these fees and charges would cause actual and back-tested performance of the securities/fund to be lower than the Index performance shown. As a simple example, if an index returned 10% on a US \$100,000 investment for a 12-month period (or US \$10,000) and an actual asset-based fee of 1.5% was imposed at the end of the period on the investment plus accrued interest (or US \$1,650), the net return would be 8.35% (or US \$8,350) for the year. Over a three-year period, an annual 1.5% fee taken at year end with an assumed 10% return per year would result in a cumulative gross return of 33.10%, a total fee of US \$5,375, and a cumulative net return of 27.2% (or US \$27,200).

### **Intellectual Property Notices/Disclaimer**

© 2022 S&P Dow Jones Indices. All rights reserved. S&P, S&P 500, SPX, SPY, The 500, US500, US 30, S&P 100, S&P COMPOSITE 1500, S&P 400, S&P MIDCAP 400, S&P 600, S&P SMALLCAP 600, S&P GIVI, GLOBAL TITANS, DIVIDEND ARISTOCRATS, Select Sector, S&P MAESTRO, S&P PRISM, S&P STRIDE, GICS, SPIVA, SPDR, INDEXOLOGY, iTraxx, iBoxx, ABX, ADBI, CDX, CMBX, MBX, MCDX, PRIMEX, HHPI, and SOVX are registered trademarks of S&P Global, Inc. ("S&P Global") or its affiliates. DOW JONES, DJIA, THE DOW and DOW JONES INDUSTRIAL AVERAGE are trademarks of Dow Jones Trademark Holdings LLC ("Dow Jones"). These trademarks together with others have been licensed to S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC. Redistribution or reproduction in whole or in part are prohibited without written permission of S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC. This document does not constitute an offer of services in jurisdictions where S&P DJI does not have the necessary licenses. Except for certain custom index calculation services, all information provided by S&P DJI is impersonal and not tailored to the needs of any person, entity, or group of persons. S&P DJI receives compensation in connection with licensing its indices to third parties and providing custom calculation services. Past performance of an index is not an indication or guarantee of future results.

It is not possible to invest directly in an index. Exposure to an asset class represented by an index may be available through investable instruments based on that index. S&P DJI does not sponsor, endorse, sell, promote or manage any investment fund or other investment vehicle that is offered by third parties and that seeks to provide an investment return based on the performance of any index. S&P DJI makes no assurance that investment products based on the index will accurately track index performance or provide positive investment returns. S&P DJI is not an investment advisor, commodity trading advisor, fiduciary, "promoter" (as defined in the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended) or "expert" as enumerated within 15 U.S.C. § 77k(a), and S&P DJI makes no representation regarding the advisability of investing in any such investment fund or other investment vehicle. A decision to invest in any such investment fund or other investment vehicle should not be made in reliance on any of the statements set forth in this document. S&P DJI is not a tax advisor. Inclusion of a security, commodity, crypto currency, or other asset within an index is not a recommendation by S&P DJI to buy, sell, or hold such security, commodity, crypto currency, or other asset, nor is it considered to be investment or trading advice.

These materials have been prepared solely for informational purposes based upon information generally available to the public and from sources believed to be reliable. No content contained in these materials (including index data, ratings, credit-related analyses and data, research, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof ("Content") may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced, or distributed in any form or by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of S&P DJI. The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P DJI and its third-party data providers and licensors (collectively "S&P Dow Jones Indices Parties") do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness, or availability of the Content. S&P Dow Jones Indices Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions, regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content. THE CONTENT IS PROVIDED ON AN "AS IS" "WHERE IS" BASIS. S&P DOW JONES INDICES PARTIES DISCLAIMS ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Dow Jones Indices Parties be liable to any party for any

direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special, or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related information and other analyses, including ratings, research and valuations are generally provided by licensors and/or affiliates of S&P Dow Jones Indices, including but not limited to S&P Global's other divisions such as S&P Global Market Intelligence. Any credit-related information and other related analyses and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. Any opinion, analyses and rating acknowledgement decisions are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P Dow Jones Indices does not assume any obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P DJI does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor. While S&P DJI has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P DJI does not perform an audit or undertake independent verification of any information it receives. S&P DJI reserves the right to vary or discontinue any index at any time for regulatory or other reasons. Various factors, including external factors beyond S&P DJI's control might necessitate material changes to indices.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P Global Ratings reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Dow Jones Indices, including S&P Global Ratings, disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgement as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof. Affiliates of S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC, including S&P Global Ratings, may receive compensation for its ratings and certain credit-related analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. Such affiliates of S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC, including S&P Global Ratings, reserve the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. Public ratings and analyses from S&P Global Ratings are made available on its Web sites, [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com) (free of charge), and [www.ratingsdirect.com](http://www.ratingsdirect.com) and [www.globalcreditportal.com](http://www.globalcreditportal.com) (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P Global Ratings publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at [www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees](http://www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees).

S&P Global keeps certain activities of its various divisions and business units separate from each other to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain divisions and business units of S&P Global may have information that is not available to other business units. S&P Global has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

In addition, S&P Dow Jones Indices provides a wide range of services to, or relating to, many organizations, including issuers of securities, investment advisers, broker-dealers, investment banks, other financial institutions, and financial intermediaries, and accordingly may receive fees or other economic benefits from those organizations, including organizations whose securities or services they may recommend, rate, include in model portfolios, evaluate, or otherwise address.

Some indices use the Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS®), which was developed by, and is the exclusive property and a trademark of, S&P Global and MSCI. Neither MSCI, S&P DJI nor any other party involved in making or compiling any GICS classifications makes any express or implied warranties or representations with respect to such standard or classification (or the results to be obtained by the use thereof), and all such parties hereby expressly disclaim all warranties of originality, accuracy, completeness, merchantability, or fitness for a particular purpose with respect to any of such standard or classification. Without limiting any of the foregoing, in no event shall MSCI, S&P DJI, any of their affiliates or any third party involved in making or compiling any GICS classifications have any liability for any direct,



indirect, special, punitive, consequential or any other damages (including lost profits) even if notified of the possibility of such damages.

S&P Dow Jones Indices products are governed by the terms and conditions of the agreements under which they may be provided. A license is required from S&P Dow Jones Indices to display, create derivative works of and/or distribute any product or service that uses, is based upon and/or refers to any S&P Dow Jones Indices and/or index data.