

**Index Administration Services
(IAS) - Commodities Index Family
*Benchmark Statement***

Feb 2023

Table of Contents

General Disclosures	3
Market or Economic Reality of the Benchmark	4
General description of the market or economic reality	4
Geographical boundaries of the market or economic reality	4
Other relevant information relating to the market or economic reality	4
Potential Limitations of the Benchmark	5
Circumstances in which we would lack sufficient input data to determine the benchmark according to the methodology	5
Circumstances in which the degree of liquidity of the underlying market becomes insufficient to ensure the integrity and reliability of the benchmark determination according to the methodology	5
Exercise of Expert Judgment and Discretion	6
Position of each function or body that may exercise discretion in the calculation of a benchmark and governance thereof	6
Ex-post evaluation process	6
Methodology Changes and Benchmark Cessations	7
The methodology	7
Rationale for adopting the methodology	8
Possible impact of changes to, or the cessation of the benchmarks upon the financial contracts, financial instruments that reference the benchmark or the measurement of the performance of investment funds	8
Key Terms	9
Additional Information	10
Appendix I - Consideration of ESG Factors	11
Appendix II - Disclosure of the Alignment with the Objectives of the Paris Agreement	12
Disclaimer	13

General Disclosures

Benchmark family name	IAS Commodities
Benchmark administrator	IHS Markit Benchmark Administration Ltd. (IMBA)
Date of initial publication of this document	9 July 2018
Date of last update to this document	1 September 2022
ISIN (where available)	There are presently no ISINs available or accessible for the benchmarks covered by this Benchmark Statement.
Determination by contributions of input data	The administrator does not receive contributions of "input data" (as defined by the BMR) in relation to this family of benchmarks.
Qualification of the benchmark family	The IAS Commodities indices are administered as significant benchmarks.

Market or Economic Reality of the Benchmark

General description of the market or economic reality

The administrator uses listed commodities futures prices and commodities indices as the primary inputs for these indices. These data are supplemented by reference data used for future roll calendars, currency rates and instrument identifiers.

All benchmarks in the family are constituted by a selection of commodity futures or commodity indices. Those constituents are selected and weighted in accordance with the relevant methodology.

The indices provide a diversified approach to investing in commodities with low correlation to equities and fixed income.

Strategies include:

- Backwardation – futures contracts with shorter time to expiration have a price that is higher than the commodity futures contracts with longer time to expiration;
- Multi-commodity indices referencing commodity futures contracts with maximum weight limits on single and multiple constituents
- Single-commodity long-only indices referencing commodity futures contracts

Frequency of rebalance/selection varies from monthly, quarterly, and annually.

Geographical boundaries of the market or economic reality

Commodities are listed on multiple exchanges in different regions of the world: e.g. LME, ICE, CBOT, NYMEX, WCE, and CME. The underlying futures commodity contracts may be volatile and may be subject to temporary distortions or other market disruptions based on numerous factors, including changes in supply and demand, weather, agriculture, trade, government action, etc.

FX Spot rates are used to convert amongst four currencies, EUR, GBP, CAD, and USD.

Other relevant information relating to the market or economic reality

N/A.

Potential Limitations of the Benchmark

Circumstances in which we would lack sufficient input data to determine the benchmark according to the methodology

Underlying data including commodity futures official close prices, price movement limits, expiration and last trading dates are provided by a third-party data provider. The benchmarks rely on the continued availability of these data for the determination of the benchmark levels.

In the case of a market or data source disruption, the administrator will either proceed with or defer the determination of the respective index levels in accordance with the relevant index manual and the relevant Market Disruption Events Policy. Where both documents prescribe conflicting treatments of a disruption, the index manual supersedes the disruption policy.

Circumstances in which the degree of liquidity of the underlying market becomes insufficient to ensure the integrity and reliability of the benchmark determination according to the methodology

An underlying commodity contract may be suspended, disrupted, or illiquid due to reasons including: price change exceeding limits set by the relevant exchange; imbalance of orders relating to the relevant underlying commodity contract; disparity in bid/ask quotes relating to the underlying commodity contract; and/or closure of relevant exchange in respect of any underlying commodity contract prior to its regular business hours without regard to after hours or other trading outside of regular trading hours.

Any single underlying commodity contract subject to reasons stated above could impact the benchmark and may result in a disruption event.

Potential liquidity issues impacting underlying indices or other components may be identified as part of the standard input data validation (e.g. as abnormally long periods of stale price data) or may be identified in the context of market disruptions or brought forward through third party index owners in which case the potential issues are escalated to the IAS **Index Administration Committee (IAC)**.

Exercise of Expert Judgment and Discretion

Position of each function or body that may exercise discretion in the calculation of a benchmark and governance thereof

The administrator's indices are rules based and do not typically permit the use of discretion unless there are exceptional circumstances that are not addressed by either the index rules or an administrator policy. Such scenarios may include (but are not limited to):

- Failure of data providers;
- Significant changes to the underlying market;
- Complex corporate events;
- Action by governmental or regulatory bodies that causes market disruption; and
- Events beyond human control.

In the event that the administrator needs to take action or make a decision that has not been foreseen by the methodology or associated policy, senior members of the administration team will consult internally with the IAC; this is to arrive at a decision that is consistent with the objective of the index in question and that causes minimal disruption to index stakeholders.

Any changes to the index methodology or cessations will be decided upon as per IMBA's Benchmark Methodology Changes and Cessation policy (available [here](#)). Where a proposed methodology change is material IMBA will launch a wider consultation which is addressed to all relevant stakeholders. It will also notify the **Board** and the **Benchmark Oversight Committee** who will oversee the consultation process and can challenge the suggested approach. A methodology change is likely to be material if it has a substantial impact on the index calculation process or formula, the quality of the input data, the index selection rules, the underlying market or reality measured, the panel of contributors to the benchmark, or the benchmark level.

Ex-post evaluation process

As above, the exercise of judgment or discretion in the calculation of the IAS Commodities benchmarks would need to be approved by the IAC prior to the publication of any benchmark to ensure that no conflict of interest arises and the benchmark continues to reflect the underlying economic reality. The decision of the IAC would be recorded and notes on the nature of the judgment or discretion that have been exercised would be published and made available to the public. To the extent there is feedback or comment on the use of judgment or discretion, the IAC will review those comments at the earliest opportunity.

Methodology Changes and Benchmark Cessations

The methodology

The administrator uses listed commodities futures prices and commodities indices as the primary inputs for these indices. These data are supplemented by reference data used for future roll calendars, currency rates and instrument identifiers.

All benchmarks in the family are constituted by a selection of commodity futures or commodity indices. Those constituents are selected and weighted in accordance with the relevant methodology.

The indices provide a diversified approach to investing in commodities with low correlation to equities and fixed income.

Strategies include:

- Backwardation – futures contracts with shorter time to expiration have a price that is higher than the commodity futures contracts with longer time to expiration
- Multi-commodity indices referencing commodity futures contracts with maximum weight limits on single and multiple constituents
- Single-commodity long-only indices referencing commodity futures contracts.

Frequency of rebalance/selection varies from monthly, quarterly, and annually.

Commodities are listed on multiple exchanges in different regions of the world: e.g. LME, ICE, CBOT, NYMEX, WCE, and CME. The underlying futures commodity contracts may be volatile and may be subject to temporary distortions or other market disruptions based on numerous factors, including changes in supply and demand, weather, agriculture, trade, government action, etc.

FX Spot rates are used to convert amongst four currencies, EUR, GBP, CAD, and USD.

The methodology of each IAS Commodity benchmark or group of IAS Commodity benchmarks is documented in a corresponding index manual which is made available to stakeholders upon request.

Generally, IAS benchmarks are administered and calculated by the administrator on behalf of a third-party which retains the intellectual property rights in the index. The initial idea and rationale for a given benchmark methodology is provided to IAS by the relevant third party and undergoes a process of review and approval by the IAC prior to implementation. Any subsequent changes to the determination methodologies or proposed termination of the index are subject to the administrator's change management and consultation process.

Where applicable, Indices are rebalanced on a periodic basis as defined by the index rules in the relevant index manuals.

All benchmark methodologies are assessed prior to their implementation by IAS with respect to the methodological/operational complexities and risks associated with the required data inputs. IAS has put in place policies and procedures applicable to all administered indices ensuring an appropriate level of risk management, error identification resolution, handling of market disruptions, escalation to the IAC, and periodic review of the fitness of the benchmarks. Such reviews are approved by the Board and reported to the Benchmark Oversight Committee.

IAS operational teams have in place processes for the identification and resolution of errors and, where applicable, validation of data versus third-party sources.

Additional benchmark- specific governance and control arrangements may be put in place as deemed appropriate by the IAC. Policies and procedures are documented in the administrator Control Framework.

IAS has an official restatement policy in place that describes how errors in the index calculation are handled. A number of key factors are considered to determine whether an index restatement is required following an error including (but not limited to) the materiality of the deviation between published and updated index levels and the impact of not restating.

Full details of the administrator's Restatement policy are available on the administrator's website [here](#).

Rationale for adopting the methodology

The key elements of the methodology of the IAS Commodities benchmarks are the sources of input data and regular rebalancing.

Sources of input data - Generally, prices are sourced from securities/derivative exchanges or from regulated providers. Where appropriate, other reliable pricing sources may be used.

Regular Rebalancing - Where an index follows a rebalancing/constituent selection schedule, commonly monthly, quarterly or annually, the factors considered when determining the frequency reflect the need for the index to be current and well aligned to its objective and intended economic reality, whilst balancing these considerations against specific characteristics of the underlying market(s) including; liquidity, transaction costs, volatility, and contract expiry schedules.

Possible impact of changes to, or the cessation of the benchmarks upon the financial contracts, financial instruments that reference the benchmark or the measurement of the performance of investment funds

Generally, IAS will publicly consult on any planned material change or the cessation of an IAS Commodity benchmark to allow stakeholders to indicate where changes to or the termination of a benchmark are expected to have adverse impacts. IAS will make reasonable efforts to address stakeholder concerns expressed in response to such consultation and to allow for reasonable advance notice for stakeholders in order to unwind existing contracts or instruments or seek an appropriate substitute benchmark. However, there may be circumstances where external factors beyond the control of the administrator could lead to short-term changes to or the termination of an IAS Commodity index without proper consultation or adequate notice period, e.g. where a continuous disruption of the underlying market or an underlying data point requires a change to the methodology or impacts the viability of a benchmark. This may have a direct impact on investors with positions in financial contracts or financial instruments referencing the benchmark.

Further details of the administrator's Change and Cessation policy are available on the administrator's website [here](#).

Key Terms

Benchmark Oversight Committee	means the Benchmark Oversight Committee, the independent function overseeing the IMBA Board with regard to the administration of the IAS Commodities indices.
BMR	means the Regulation (EU) 2016/1011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2016.
Board	means the Board of Directors of IMBA.
ESG	means Environmental, Social, Governance, recognised as the 3 central pillars measuring sustainability and societal impact of institutions, sovereigns, or other entities.
IHS Markit Benchmark Administration Ltd. (IMBA)	means the administrator, which is the UK entity authorised by the FCA responsible for the daily provision of the IAS Commodities indices.
Index Administration Committee (IAC)	means the committee with responsibility for overseeing day to day administration of the IAS Commodities Indices.

Additional Information

Updates to this Benchmark Statement

This Benchmark Statement will be updated whenever the information it provides is no longer correct or sufficiently precise and at least where:

(a) there is a change in the type of the benchmark;

(b) there is a material change in the methodology for determining the benchmark or, where the Benchmark Statement refers to a family of benchmarks, in the methodology for determining any benchmark within the family of benchmarks.

This Benchmark Statement will be updated at least every two years.

Contact us

For more information, including to request index specific methodologies, please visit <https://ihsmarkit.com/products/index-administration-services.html> or contact us support@ihsmarkit.com.

Appendix I - Consideration of ESG Factors

Type of benchmark family	Other benchmarks
Name of the benchmarks	IAS Commodities Indices
Does the benchmark pursue ESG objectives?	No
Where the answer to the above question is negative, is any EU Climate Transition Benchmark or EU Paris-Aligned Benchmark available in the portfolio of IMBA or does IMBA have benchmarks that pursue ESG objectives or benchmarks that take into account ESG factors?	Yes

Appendix II - Disclosure of the Alignment with the Objectives of the Paris Agreement

Does the benchmark align with the target of reducing carbon emissions or the attainment of the objectives of the Paris Agreement?	No
The temperature scenario, in accordance with international standards, used for the alignment with the target of reducing GHG emissions or attaining of the objectives of the Paris Agreement.	Not applicable. The benchmarks do not pursue the objective of seeking to reduce carbon emissions or attaining the objectives of the Paris Agreement and therefore a temperature scenario is not relevant to the benchmarks.
The name of the provider of the temperature scenario used for the alignment with the target of reducing GHG emissions or the attainment of the objectives of the Paris Agreement.	Not applicable
The methodology used for the measurement of the alignment with the temperature scenario.	Not applicable
The hyperlink to the website of the temperature scenario used.	Not applicable
Date on which information has last been updated and reason for the update.	Not applicable

Disclaimer

Performance Disclosure/Back-Tested Data

Where applicable, S&P Dow Jones Indices and its index-related affiliates (“S&P DJI”) defines various dates to assist our clients in providing transparency. The First Value Date is the first day for which there is a calculated value (either live or back-tested) for a given index. The Base Date is the date at which the index is set to a fixed value for calculation purposes. The Launch Date designates the date when the values of an index are first considered live: index values provided for any date or time period prior to the index’s Launch Date are considered back-tested. S&P DJI defines the Launch Date as the date by which the values of an index are known to have been released to the public, for example via the company’s public website or its data feed to external parties. For Dow Jones-branded indices introduced prior to May 31, 2013, the Launch Date (which prior to May 31, 2013, was termed “Date of introduction”) is set at a date upon which no further changes were permitted to be made to the index methodology, but that may have been prior to the Index’s public release date.

Please refer to the methodology for the Index for more details about the index, including the manner in which it is rebalanced, the timing of such rebalancing, criteria for additions and deletions, as well as all index calculations.

Information presented prior to an index’s launch date is hypothetical back-tested performance, not actual performance, and is based on the index methodology in effect on the launch date. However, when creating back-tested history for periods of market anomalies or other periods that do not reflect the general current market environment, index methodology rules may be relaxed to capture a large enough universe of securities to simulate the target market the index is designed to measure or strategy the index is designed to capture. For example, market capitalization and liquidity thresholds may be reduced. In addition, forks have not been factored into the back-test data with respect to the S&P Cryptocurrency Indices. For the S&P Cryptocurrency Top 5 & 10 Equal Weight Indices, the custody element of the methodology was not considered; the back-test history is based on the index constituents that meet the custody element as of the Launch Date. Back-tested performance reflects application of an index methodology and selection of index constituents with the benefit of hindsight and knowledge of factors that may have positively affected its performance, cannot account for all financial risk that may affect results and may be considered to reflect survivor/look ahead bias. Actual returns may differ significantly from, and be lower than, back-tested returns. Past performance is not an indication or guarantee of future results.

Typically, when S&P DJI creates back-tested index data, S&P DJI uses actual historical constituent-level data (e.g., historical price, market capitalization, and corporate action data) in its calculations. As ESG investing is still in early stages of development, certain datapoints used to calculate certain ESG indices may not be available for the entire desired period of back-tested history. The same data availability issue could be true for other indices as well. In cases when actual data is not available for all relevant historical periods, S&P DJI may employ a process of using “Backward Data Assumption” (or pulling back) of ESG data for the calculation of back-tested historical performance. “Backward Data Assumption” is a process that applies the earliest actual live data point available for an index constituent company to all prior historical instances in the index performance. For example, Backward Data Assumption inherently assumes that companies currently not involved in a specific business activity (also known as “product involvement”) were never involved historically and similarly also assumes that companies currently involved in a specific business activity were involved historically too. The Backward Data Assumption allows the hypothetical back-test to be extended over more historical years than would be feasible using only actual data. For more information on “Backward Data Assumption” please refer to the FAQ. The methodology and factsheets of any index that employs backward assumption in the back-tested history will explicitly state so. The methodology will include an Appendix with a table setting forth the specific data points and relevant time period for which backward projected data was used. Index returns shown do not represent the results of actual trading of investable assets/securities. S&P DJI maintains the index and calculates the index levels and performance shown or discussed but does not manage any assets.

Index returns do not reflect payment of any sales charges or fees an investor may pay to purchase the securities underlying the Index or investment funds that are intended to track the performance of the Index. The imposition of these fees and charges would cause actual and back-tested performance of the securities/fund to be lower than the Index performance shown. As a simple example, if an index returned 10% on a US \$100,000 investment for a 12-month period (or US \$10,000) and an actual asset-based fee of 1.5% was imposed at the end of the period on the investment plus accrued interest (or US \$1,650), the net return would be 8.35% (or US \$8,350) for the year. Over a three-year period, an annual 1.5% fee taken at year end with an assumed 10% return per year would result in a cumulative gross return of 33.10%, a total fee of US \$5,375, and a cumulative net return of 27.2% (or US \$27,200).

Intellectual Property Notices/Disclaimer

© 2022 S&P Dow Jones Indices. All rights reserved. S&P, S&P 500, SPX, SPY, The 500, US500, US 30, S&P 100, S&P COMPOSITE 1500, S&P 400, S&P MIDCAP 400, S&P 600, S&P SMALLCAP 600, S&P GIVI, GLOBAL TITANS, DIVIDEND ARISTOCRATS, Select Sector, S&P MAESTRO, S&P PRISM, S&P STRIDE, GICS, SPIVA, SPDR, INDEXOLOGY, iTraxx, iBoxx, ABX, ADBI, CDX, CMBX, MBX, MCDX, PRIMEX, HHPI, and SOVX are registered trademarks of S&P Global, Inc. ("S&P Global") or its affiliates. DOW JONES, DJIA, THE DOW and DOW JONES INDUSTRIAL AVERAGE are trademarks of Dow Jones Trademark Holdings LLC ("Dow Jones"). These trademarks together with others have been licensed to S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC. Redistribution or reproduction in whole or in part are prohibited without written permission of S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC. This document does not constitute an offer of services in jurisdictions where S&P DJI does not have the necessary licenses. Except for certain custom index calculation services, all information provided by S&P DJI is impersonal and not tailored to the needs of any person, entity, or group of persons. S&P DJI receives compensation in connection with licensing its indices to third parties and providing custom calculation services. Past performance of an index is not an indication or guarantee of future results.

It is not possible to invest directly in an index. Exposure to an asset class represented by an index may be available through investable instruments based on that index. S&P DJI does not sponsor, endorse, sell, promote or manage any investment fund or other investment vehicle that is offered by third parties and that seeks to provide an investment return based on the performance of any index. S&P DJI makes no assurance that investment products based on the index will accurately track index performance or provide positive investment returns. S&P DJI is not an investment advisor, commodity trading advisor, fiduciary, "promoter" (as defined in the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended) or "expert" as enumerated within 15 U.S.C. § 77k(a), and S&P DJI makes no representation regarding the advisability of investing in any such investment fund or other investment vehicle. A decision to invest in any such investment fund or other investment vehicle should not be made in reliance on any of the statements set forth in this document. S&P DJI is not a tax advisor. Inclusion of a security, commodity, crypto currency, or other asset within an index is not a recommendation by S&P DJI to buy, sell, or hold such security, commodity, crypto currency, or other asset, nor is it considered to be investment or trading advice.

These materials have been prepared solely for informational purposes based upon information generally available to the public and from sources believed to be reliable. No content contained in these materials (including index data, ratings, credit-related analyses and data, research, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof ("Content") may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced, or distributed in any form or by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of S&P DJI. The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P DJI and its third-party data providers and licensors (collectively "S&P Dow Jones Indices Parties") do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness, or availability of the Content. S&P Dow Jones Indices Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions, regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content. THE CONTENT IS PROVIDED ON AN "AS IS" "WHERE IS" BASIS. S&P DOW JONES INDICES PARTIES DISCLAIMS ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Dow Jones Indices Parties be liable to any party for any

direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special, or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related information and other analyses, including ratings, research and valuations are generally provided by licensors and/or affiliates of S&P Dow Jones Indices, including but not limited to S&P Global's other divisions such as S&P Global Market Intelligence. Any credit-related information and other related analyses and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. Any opinion, analyses and rating acknowledgement decisions are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P Dow Jones Indices does not assume any obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P DJI does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor. While S&P DJI has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P DJI does not perform an audit or undertake independent verification of any information it receives. S&P DJI reserves the right to vary or discontinue any index at any time for regulatory or other reasons. Various factors, including external factors beyond S&P DJI's control might necessitate material changes to indices.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P Global Ratings reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Dow Jones Indices, including S&P Global Ratings, disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgement as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof. Affiliates of S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC, including S&P Global Ratings, may receive compensation for its ratings and certain credit-related analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. Such affiliates of S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC, including S&P Global Ratings, reserve the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. Public ratings and analyses from S&P Global Ratings are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P Global Ratings publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

S&P Global keeps certain activities of its various divisions and business units separate from each other to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain divisions and business units of S&P Global may have information that is not available to other business units. S&P Global has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

In addition, S&P Dow Jones Indices provides a wide range of services to, or relating to, many organizations, including issuers of securities, investment advisers, broker-dealers, investment banks, other financial institutions, and financial intermediaries, and accordingly may receive fees or other economic benefits from those organizations, including organizations whose securities or services they may recommend, rate, include in model portfolios, evaluate, or otherwise address.

Some indices use the Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS[®]), which was developed by, and is the exclusive property and a trademark of, S&P Global and MSCI. Neither MSCI, S&P DJI nor any other party involved in making or compiling any GICS classifications makes any express or implied warranties or representations with respect to such standard or classification (or the results to be obtained by the use thereof), and all such parties hereby expressly disclaim all warranties of originality, accuracy, completeness, merchantability, or fitness for a particular purpose with respect to any of such standard or classification. Without limiting any of the foregoing, in no event shall MSCI, S&P DJI, any of their affiliates or any third party involved in making or compiling any GICS classifications have any liability for any direct,

indirect, special, punitive, consequential or any other damages (including lost profits) even if notified of the possibility of such damages.

S&P Dow Jones Indices products are governed by the terms and conditions of the agreements under which they may be provided. A license is required from S&P Dow Jones Indices to display, create derivative works of and/or distribute any product or service that uses, is based upon and/or refers to any S&P Dow Jones Indices and/or index data.