



Index Administration Services (IAS) MULTI-ASSET – BENCHMARK STATEMENT

1. General Disclosures	
Benchmark family name	IAS MULTI-ASSET
Benchmark administrator	IHS Markit Benchmark Administration Ltd. (IMBA UK)
Date of initial publication of this document	1 October 2020
Date of last update to this document	1 October 2020
ISIN (where available)	There are presently no ISINs available or accessible for the benchmarks covered by this Benchmark Statement
Determination by contributions of input data	The administrator does not receive contributions of “input data” (as defined by the BMR) in relation to this family of benchmark
Qualification of the benchmark family	Benchmarks within the IAS Multi-Asset family are administered as “significant” (as defined by the BMR).
2. Climate-related disclosures	
Type of benchmark family	Other benchmarks
Name of the benchmarks	IAS Multi-Asset benchmarks
Does the benchmark pursue ESG objectives?	No

<p>Where the answer to the above question is negative, is any EU Climate Transition Benchmark or EU Paris-Aligned Benchmark available in the portfolio of IMBA UK or does IMBA UK have benchmarks that pursue ESG objectives or consider ESG factors?</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>3. Key terms relating to the benchmark or family of benchmarks</p>	
<p>General description of the market or economic reality</p>	<p>IAS Multi-Asset benchmarks measure the performance of different asset allocation strategies typically targeting balanced index returns, risk parity or low asset class correlation through a specific combination of single-asset class indices (equity, commodity, FX, and/or fixed income).</p>
<p>Geographical boundaries of the market or economic reality</p>	<p>Given that the majority of IAS Multi-Asset benchmarks comprise of multiple single-asset class indices or benchmarks, the underlying market of these benchmarks tends to be global. However, each underlying index entails instruments of a given asset class which are typically associated with a narrower underlying market or economy belonging to a country or geographical/economic region. In addition, FX Spot rates and FX Forward rates are used to convert components to the respective target currency and/or hedge foreign currency exposure.</p>
<p>Other relevant information relating to the market or economic reality</p>	<p>IAS Multi-Asset benchmarks are based on other indices. If underlying indices are restated, the need may arise to restate the respective IAS Multi-Asset index/indices.</p>
<p>4. The potential limitations of the benchmark and the circumstances in which the measurement of the market or economic reality may become unreliable</p>	
<p>Circumstances in which we would lack sufficient input data to determine the benchmark according to the methodology</p>	<p>IAS Multi-Asset benchmarks are based on other indices/benchmark rates and, in one case, sovereign bond futures. The administrator relies on the continued availability of these underlying data for the determination of the IAS Multi-Asset benchmarks. Some of the underlying indices comprising the IAS Multi-Asset indices are determined and administered by IAS, others may be sourced from third-party index providers. As underlying indices in return rely on the continued availability of data inputs (e.g. prices), IAS Multi-Asset indices indirectly also rely on the continued availability of those inputs.</p>

	<p>In the case of a market or data source disruption, the administrator will either proceed with or defer the determination of the respective index levels in accordance with the relevant index manual and the Markit Disruption Events Policy.</p>
<p>Circumstances in which the degree of liquidity of the underlying market becomes insufficient to ensure the integrity and reliability of the benchmark determination according to the methodology</p>	<p>Given the nature of IAS Multi-Asset indices as indices of indices, potential liquidity issues would arise at the level of the underlying indices.</p> <p>Potential liquidity issues impacting underlying indices or other components may be identified as part of the standard input data validation (e.g. as abnormally long periods of stale price data) or may be identified in the context of market disruptions or brought forward through third party index owners in which case the potential issues are escalated to the IAS Index Administration Committee (IAC).</p>
<p>5. The controls and rules that govern the exercise of judgment or discretion in the calculation of the benchmark or family of benchmarks</p>	
<p>Position of each function or body that may exercise discretion in the calculation of a benchmark and governance thereof</p>	<p>The administrator's indices are rules based and do not typically permit the use of discretion unless there are exceptional circumstances that are not addressed by either the index rules or an administrator policy. Such scenarios may include (but are not limited to):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Failure of data providers; • Significant changes to the underlying market; • Complex corporate events; • Action by governmental or regulatory bodies that causes market disruption; • Events beyond human control. <p>In the event that the administrator needs to take action or make a decision that has not been foreseen by the methodology or associated policy, senior members of the administration team will consult internally with the applicable IAC; this is to arrive at a decision that is consistent with the objective of the index in question and that causes minimal disruption to index stakeholders.</p> <p>Any changes to the index methodology or cessations will be decided upon as per IMBA's Benchmark Methodology Changes and Cessation policy (available here). Where a proposed methodology change is material IMBA will launch a wider consultation which is addressed to all relevant stakeholders. It will also notify the Board and the Benchmark Oversight Committee who will oversee the consultation process and can challenge the suggested approach. A methodology change is likely to be material if it has a substantial impact on the index calculation process or formula, the quality of the input data, the index selection rules, the underlying market or reality measured, the panel of contributors to the benchmark, or the benchmark level.</p>

<p>Ex-post evaluation process</p>	<p>As above, the exercise of judgment or discretion in the calculation of the IAS Multi-Asset benchmarks would need to be approved by the IAC prior to the publication of any benchmark to ensure that no conflict of interest arises and the benchmark continues to reflect the underlying economic reality. The decision of the IAC would be recorded and notes on the nature of the judgment or discretion that have been exercised would be published on made available to the public. To the extent there is feedback or comment on the use of judgment or discretion, the IAC will review those comments at the earliest opportunity.</p>
<p>6. Review of the methodology and changes to, or cessation of, the benchmark or family of benchmarks</p>	
<p>The methodology</p>	<p>IAS Multi-Asset benchmarks measure the performance of different asset allocation strategies typically targeting balanced index returns, risk parity or low asset class correlation.</p> <p>All IAS Multi-Asset benchmarks are rules-based and their methodologies are documented in the respective index manual, made available to stakeholders upon request. For some of the benchmarks of this family different generic variants exist (e.g. total or excess return).</p> <p>Generally, IAS benchmarks are administered and calculated by the administrator on behalf of a third party which retains the intellectual property rights in the index. The initial idea and rationale for a given benchmark methodology is provided to IAS by the relevant third party and undergoes a process of review and approval by the IAS IAC prior to implementation. Any subsequent changes to the determination methodologies or proposed termination of the index are subject to the administrator's change management and consultation process.</p> <p>Where applicable, Indices are rebalanced on a periodic basis as defined by the index rules in the relevant index manuals.</p> <p>All benchmark methodologies are assessed prior to their implementation by IAS with respect to the methodological/operational complexities and risks associated with the required data inputs. IAS has put in place policies and procedures applicable to all administered indices ensuring an appropriate level of risk management, error identification resolution, handling of market disruptions, escalation to the IAS IAC, and periodic review of the fitness of the benchmarks. Such reviews are approved by the Board and reported to the Benchmark Oversight Committee.</p> <p>IAS operational teams have in place processes for the identification and resolution of errors and, where applicable, validation of data versus third-party sources.</p>

	<p>Additional benchmark- specific governance and control arrangements may be put in place as deemed appropriate by the IAS IAC. Policies and procedures are documented in the administrator's Control Framework.</p> <p>Further details of the administrator's Restatement policy are available on the administrator's website here.</p>
<p>Possible impacts of changes to, or the cessation of the benchmarks upon the financial contracts, financial instruments that reference the benchmark or the measurement of the performance of investment funds</p>	<p>Generally, IAS will publicly consult on any planned material change or the cessation of an IAS Multi-Asset benchmark to allow stakeholders to indicate where changes to or the termination of a benchmark are expected to have adverse impacts. IAS will make reasonable efforts to address stakeholder concerns expressed in response to such consultation and to allow for reasonable advanced notice for stakeholders in order to unwind existing contracts or instruments or seek an appropriate substitute benchmark. However, there may be circumstances where external factors beyond the control of the administrator could lead to short-term changes to or the termination of an IAS Multi-Asset index without proper consultation or adequate notice period, e.g. where a continuous disruption of the underlying market or an underlying data point requires a change to the methodology or impacts the viability of a benchmark. This may have a direct impact on investors with positions in financial contracts or financial instruments referencing the benchmark.</p> <p>Further details of the administrator's Change and Cessation policy are available on the administrator's website here.</p>
<p>7. Key Terms</p>	
<p>Benchmark Oversight Committee</p>	<p>means the Benchmark Oversight Committee, the independent function overseeing the IMBA UK Board with regard to the administration of the IAS Multi-Asset indices</p>
<p>Board</p>	<p>means the Board of Directors of IMBA UK</p>
<p>ESG</p>	<p>means Environmental, Social, Governance, recognised as the 3 central pillars measuring sustainability and societal impact of institutions, sovereigns, or other entities.</p>
<p>IHS Markit Benchmark Administration Ltd. (IMBA UK)</p>	<p>means the administrator, which is the UK entity authorised by the FCA responsible for the daily provision of the IAS Multi-Asset indices</p>
<p>Index Administration Committee (IAC)</p>	<p>means the committee with responsibility for overseeing day to day administration of the IAS Multi-Asset indices</p>

8. Additional information

Additional information

For more information, including to request index specific methodologies, please visit www.ihsmarket.com/products/index-administration-services or contact us support@ihsmarket.com.

9. Document governance

Document name

IAS Multi-Asset Benchmark Statement

Document owner

Regulatory Compliance

Applies to

IAS Multi-Asset benchmark family

Approved by

Board of IHS Markit Benchmark Administration Ltd. and Benchmark Oversight Committee

Date of Last review

1 October 2020

IMPORTANT

This Benchmark Statement will be updated whenever the information it provides is no longer correct or sufficiently precise and at least where:

(a) there is a change in the type of the benchmark;

(b) there is a material change in the methodology for determining the benchmark or, where the Benchmark Statement refers to a family of benchmarks, in the methodology for determining any benchmark within the family of benchmarks.

This Benchmark Statement will be updated at least every two years.

ABOUT US

IHS Markit (NYSE: INFO) is a world leader in critical information, analytics and expertise to forge solutions for the major industries and markets that drive economies worldwide. The company delivers next-generation information, analytics and solutions to customers in business, finance and government, improving their operational efficiency and providing deep insights that lead to well-informed, confident decisions. IHS Markit has more than 50,000 key business and government customers, including 85 percent of the Fortune Global 500 and the world's leading financial institutions. Headquartered in London, IHS Markit is committed to sustainable, profitable growth.

CONTACT US

For more information, including to request index specific methodologies, please visit <https://ihsmarkit.com/products/index-administration-services.html> or contact us support@markit.com.

NOTICES AND DISCLAIMERS

The information in this document is provided "as is." IHS Markit and its affiliates make no warranty, expressed or implied, including, without limitation, any warranties as of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, accuracy, completeness or timeliness, or as to the results to be obtained by recipients of the products and services described herein, and shall not in any way be liable for any inaccuracies, errors or omissions herein. IHS Markit products are governed by the terms and conditions of the agreements under which they are provided. Copyright © 2020, IHS Markit. All rights reserved. Any unauthorised use, disclosure, reproduction or dissemination, in full or in part, in any media or by any means, without the prior written permission of IHS Markit is strictly prohibited.