

Carbon Footprint and Allocation in an Integrated Refinery-Petrochemical Complex

PEP Review 2021-10
November 2021

Soumitro Nagpal
Executive Technical Director

RJ Chang
Vice President

Process Economics Program

Contacts

Soumitro Nagpal

Executive Technical Director, Process Economics Program
soumitro.nagpal@ihsmarkit.com

RJ Chang

Vice President, Process Economics Program
rj.chang@ihsmarkit.com

Michael Arné

Vice President, Process Economics Program
michael.arne@ihsmarkit.com

PEP Review 2021-10

Carbon Footprint and Allocation in an Integrated Refinery-Petrochemical Complex

Soumitro Nagpal, Executive Technical Director

RJ Chang, Vice President

Abstract

Investors are looking more closely at operational indicators for a better understanding of company performance in reducing emissions. Currently, there is no consistent basis for estimation of emission intensities of a facility, thus making reliable comparisons between environmental, social, and governance (ESG) performance of companies a challenge.

This review is part of a broader IHS Markit effort to develop a consistent emissions estimation methodology spanning the upstream oil and gas, midstream/downstream oil refining and gas processing, and petrochemicals industries. We illustrate the proposed methodology by developing specific carbon footprint emission estimates of transportation fuels as well as the major bulk olefin and aromatic-based petrochemicals derived from a world-scale, highly integrated, refinery-petrochemicals/crude-oil-to-chemicals (COTC) complex.

In this review, we present a detailed material and energy balance from a recent crude-oil-to-chemicals (COTC) project that includes a deep conversion refinery processing 400,000 barrels per day (20 MMtpa) of crude, a mixed-feed steam cracker, and downstream petrochemicals. The carbon emissions from each process unit are determined. Carbon footprints are allocated to each of the major products based on the cumulative energy consumption of all process units involved in their production plus the life cycle carbon footprints of all external feeds used in the complex.

The following aspects distinguish this study from earlier carbon footprint studies for fuels and petrochemicals:

- The scope covers an integrated petroleum refinery, steam cracker, and petrochemical complex versus stand-alone refinery analysis.
- A very high refinery conversion or intensity with extensive hydrocracking, catalytic cracking, and catalytic reforming versus less energy-intensive refinery configurations.
- CO₂ allocation by energy consumptions at individual process unit level, not treating the refinery as one block.
- Energy consumption data is based on a real COTC that has recently been built, not a generic analysis.
- Includes lifecycle carbon footprints of all external feeds to the complex. Thus, the calculated product carbon footprint (CF) and carbon intensity (CI) are for the product life cycle (well-to-gate) starting from upstream feed production to refinery-petrochemicals complex exit gate.

Contents

1	Introduction	5
2	Summary	6
	Previous studies	7
	This study	8
	Comparisons with published fuels and chemicals CI estimations	12
	Impact of products' end-use	13
3	Literature review	16
	Allocation studies	18
	Refineries	18
	Hydrogen production	20
	Petrochemicals	20
	IHS Markit PEP database	21
4	Methodology	22
	PEP convention for emissions accounting	22
	Process emissions	23
	Emissions from on-site combustion	23
	Emissions from off-site electrical generation	23
	Unit carbon footprint	24
	Carbon footprint of external feeds	24
	Allocation of carbon footprint to products	24
	Fuel emission factors	27
	Data source	27
	Feed and product specifications	27
5	Integrated refinery-petrochemicals (COTC) complex	29
	Process unit carbon footprint (base case)	31
	External feed carbon footprint	36
	Product carbon footprint (base case)	37
	Fuels	38
	Chemicals	38
	Hydrogen	40
	Case 1	42
	Case 2	43
	Case 3	43
	Impact of products' end-use	44
	Appendix A—Cited references	45
	Appendix B—Specific energy consumptions in ZPC COTC refinery, steam cracker, and petrochemical units	49
	Appendix C—Block flow diagrams	51

Tables

Table 2.1 Carbon footprint of COTC and major process blocks	9
Table 2.2 Comparison of product carbon intensities for various scenarios	12
Table 2.3 Comparison of fuels and product carbon intensities with other sources	14
Table 3.1 Carbon intensity of different refinery configurations in EU	17
Table 4.1 Carbon footprint allocation for coal gasification unit (base case)	26
Table 4.2 COTC feed and product specifications	28
Table 5.1 Refinery units in COTC	29
Table 5.2 Steam cracker and petrochemical units	30
Table 5.3 Carbon footprint of process units (base case)	33
Table 5.4 Well to refinery carbon footprint of external feeds	37
Table 5.5 Carbon footprint and intensity of COTC products (base case)	39
Table 5.6 Carbon footprint and intensity of gasoline, diesel, and jet pool (base case)	40
Table 5.7 Comparison of hydrogen (99.9 vol%) production carbon intensities	41
Table 5.8 Carbon footprint of COTC process blocks	43

Figures

Figure 2.1 System boundary for Well-to-Gate GHG emissions estimated in this study	8
Figure 2.2 Well to gate carbon intensity of COTC products	11
Figure 2.3 Impact of products end-use carbon emissions on full life cycle emissions	15
Figure 3.1 Carbon intensity of US refineries	17
Figure 4.1 Carbon footprint estimation and allocation at unit level	25
Figure 5.1 Carbon footprint for different energy sources and direct emissions	32
Figure 5.2 Carbon footprint and intensity of refinery units	35
Figure 5.3 Carbon footprint and intensity of petrochemical units	36
Figure 5.4 Overall carbon balance for the COTC complex (base case)	41

Appendix C Figures

Figure C.3.1 Process Economics Program (PEP) coverage of refinery process technologies	52
Figure C.4.1 Illustration of carbon footprint allocation methodology for gasoline pool components	53
Figure C.5.1 COTC refinery and steam cracker process units' configuration	54
Figure C.5.2 COTC petrochemicals units	55

IHS Markit Customer Care:

CustomerCare@ihsmarkit.com

Asia and the Pacific Rim

Japan: +813 6262 1887

Asia Pacific: +604 291 3600

Europe, Middle East, and Africa: +44 1344 328 300

Americas: +1 800 447 2273

Disclaimer

The information contained in this presentation is confidential. Any unauthorized use, disclosure, reproduction, or dissemination, in full or in part, in any media or by any means, without the prior written permission of IHS Markit or any of its affiliates ("IHS Markit") is strictly prohibited. IHS Markit owns all IHS Markit logos and trade names contained in this presentation that are subject to license. Opinions, statements, estimates, and projections in this presentation (including other media) are solely those of the individual author(s) at the time of writing and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of IHS Markit. Neither IHS Markit nor the author(s) has any obligation to update this presentation in the event that any content, opinion, statement, estimate, or projection (collectively, "information") changes or subsequently becomes inaccurate. IHS Markit makes no warranty, expressed or implied, as to the accuracy, completeness, or timeliness of any information in this presentation, and shall not in any way be liable to any recipient for any inaccuracies or omissions. Without limiting the foregoing, IHS Markit shall have no liability whatsoever to any recipient, whether in contract, in tort (including negligence), under warranty, under statute or otherwise, in respect of any loss or damage suffered by any recipient as a result of or in connection with any information provided, or any course of action determined, by it or any third party, whether or not based on any information provided. The inclusion of a link to an external website by IHS Markit should not be understood to be an endorsement of that website or the site's owners (or their products/services). IHS Markit is not responsible for either the content or output of external websites. Copyright © 2021, IHS Markit®. All rights reserved and all intellectual property rights are retained by IHS Markit.

